



Efficiency & Sustainability

PROCEEDINGS

5 Deregulation of the Utility Industry and Role of Energy Services Companies (ESCOs)

Panel Leaders:

Betsy Krieg, Pacific Gas and Electric Company

Steve Morgan, EUA Citizens Conservation Services



American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy

1001 Connecticut Ave., N.W. • Suite 801
Washington, D.C. 20036 • (202) 429-8873

Publications (202) 429-0063

<http://www.aceee.org>

Copyright © 2000 All rights reserved.

American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy

No portion of this publication may be reproduced by any process or technique without the express written consent of the publisher.

 Printed on recycled paper.

Foreword

Responding to the theme of this Millennium Summer Study—“Efficiency and Sustainability”—professionals from around the world discussed the technological basis for and practical methods of implementing efficient and (hopefully) sustainable energy use in buildings. Issues, trends, challenges, and accomplishments were discussed. Each volume in this proceedings focuses on specific issues that encompass global visions for the future and discussion of future trends.

The 2000 Summer Study continued to emphasize new trends in buildings, equipment, markets, and social issues. Topics ranged broadly from the ENERGY STAR® program for new construction to building envelope and system engineering issues. The papers presented reviewed the latest information on utility restructuring and impacts on utility-sponsored programs, as well as global market issues, information technologies, and non-energy benefits. Sustainable development strategies; community-scale initiatives; factors influencing energy consumption and purchase of energy-efficient technologies; and how to design, implement, and evaluate energy programs were just a few of the cutting edge discussions that warm the mind and stir our quest for enlightenment.

The subjects of the ten volumes in this proceedings are:

1. Residential Buildings: Technologies, Design, and Performance Analysis
2. Residential Buildings: Program Design, Implementation, and Evaluation
3. Commercial Buildings: Technologies, Design, and Performance Analysis
4. Commercial Buildings: Program Design, Implementation, and Evaluation
5. Deregulation of the Utility Industry and Role of Energy Service Companies (ESCOs)
6. Market Transformation
7. Information and Electronic Technologies
8. Consumer Behavior and Non-Energy Effects
9. Energy and Environmental Policy
10. Building Industry Trends

We, the co-chairs, would like to thank the 23 panel leaders who sorted more than 658 abstracts, selecting and nurturing 309 papers through the rigid review and publishing process, and selecting more than 60 talks for the poster sessions. We would also like to thank the many peer reviewers who worked with the panel leaders. Finally, a well-deserved thank you to the staff of ACEEE, in particular Glee Murray and Rebecca Lunetta (who received key assistance from Renee Nida and Julia Harvell) for their support and guidance throughout this process and for making the week a very successful “energy camp.”

James McMahon, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Pat Love, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Acknowledgments

Organizing Committee

James McMahon
Conference Co-Chair
Lawrence Berkeley
National Laboratory

Pat Love
Conference Co-Chair
Oak Ridge National
Laboratory

**Rebecca Lunetta, Glee Murray,
Howard Geller, and Steven Nadel,**
American Council for an Energy-Efficient
Economy

Advisory Committee

Gregg Ander
Southern California Edison
Company

Morton H. Blatt
Electric Power Research
Institute

Chris Chouteau
Pacific Gas and Electric
Company

James Cole
California Institute of
Energy Efficiency

Carl Duisberg
U.S. Agency for
International Development

Margaret Gardner
Northwest Energy Efficiency
Alliance

Peter A. Gravallese
NSPAN

Elizabeth G. Hicks
National Grid USA

Kathleen Hogan
U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency

Martha Johnson
U.S. Department of Energy—
Energy Information
Administration

Richard Karney
U.S. Department of Energy—
Office of Building
Technology, State and
Community Programs

Kenneth M. Keating
Bonneville Power
Administration

Merle McBride
Owens Corning Fiberglas

Ron Nesse
Battelle Pacific Northwest
National Laboratory

Elizabeth Shearer
Federal Energy Management
Program

Laurie ten Hope
California Energy
Commission

Gunnar Walmet
New York State Energy
Research and Development
Authority

Mike Weedall
Sacramento Municipal
Utility District

Conference and Proceedings Staff

Glee Murray, *Director*

Rebecca Lunetta, *Manager*

Renee Nida

Eric Stragar

Julia Harvell

Thomas Boyle

Chuck Myers—Proceedings Design

Automated Graphic Systems—Proceedings and
CD-ROM Production

Contents

PANEL 5: INTRODUCTION	5.xi
Integrated Market-Based Efficiency and Supply for Small Energy Consumers: The Consumer Energy Cooperative	5.1
Eric Belliveau, <i>Optimal Energy, Inc.</i>	
Beth Sachs, <i>Vermont Energy Investment Corp.</i>	
John Plunkett, <i>Optimal Energy, Inc.</i>	
The Role of Energy Efficiency in the Deregulated Swedish Electricity Market	5.15
Mikael Bergmash, <i>Goteborg University</i>	
Anders Lewald, <i>Swedish National Energy Administration</i>	
Lars J. Nilsson, <i>University of Lund</i>	
Mats Strid, <i>Goteberg University</i>	
Working Toward Market Transformation through Residential and Non-Residential Standard Performance Contract (SPC) Programs: Lessons Learned on Delivery, Design, Participation, and Needs.....	5.27
Chris Ann Dickerson, <i>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</i>	
Lisa A. Skumatz, <i>Skumatz Economic Research Associates, Inc.</i>	
Robert Bordner, <i>Energy Market Innovations</i>	
Pierre Landry, <i>Southern California Edison</i>	
The Role of Energy Efficiency and Energy Service Companies in Wholesale Markets.....	5.41
Beth Dunlop, <i>Grueneich Resource Advocates</i>	
Donald Gilligan, <i>Predicate Energy, LLC</i>	
Why Financial Promotions Work: Leveraging Energy Efficiency Value to Promote Superior Products	5.57
Wael El-Sharif, <i>Geothermal Heat Pump Consortium</i>	
Marvin Horowitz, <i>Demand Research</i>	
Tapping the Potential for Energy Efficiency: The Role of ESCOs in the Czech Republic, Ukraine and Russia	5.69
Meredydd Evans, <i>Battelle, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory</i>	
Wisconsin's Pilot Energy Efficiency Performance Program	5.81
Linda Farinaccio, <i>Schiller Associates</i>	
Barbara E. Smith, <i>Wisconsin Department of Administration</i>	
Rick Brakken, <i>Schiller Associates</i>	
Steven R. Schiller, <i>Schiller Associates</i>	

Historical Performances of the U.S. ESCO Industry: Results from the NAESCO Project Database	5.89
C. Goldman, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
P. Juergens, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
M. Fowlie, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
J. Osborn, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
K. Kawamoto, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Terry Singer, <i>NAESCO</i>	
 Applying a Theory-Based Approach to California's Nonresidential Standard Performance Contract Program: Lessons Learned	 5.103
Sy Goldstone, <i>California Energy Commission</i>	
Michael Rufo, <i>XENERGY, Inc.</i>	
John Wilson, <i>California Energy Commission</i>	
 Electricity Restructuring and Value-Added Services: Beyond the Hype.....	5.117
William Golove, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Rodrigo Prudencio, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Ryan Wiser, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Charles Goldman, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
 Public Goods and Restructuring of the Brazilian Power Structure: Energy Efficiency R&D and Low Income Programs	 5.131
G.M. Jannuzzi, <i>State University of Campinas</i>	
 Midwestern Renaissance: A Tale of Three States' Public Benefits Victories	 5.141
Val Jensen, <i>ICF Consulting</i>	
 How Buildings Can Prosper by Interacting with Restructured Electricity Markets	 5.153
Brendan Kirby, <i>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</i>	
John Kueck, <i>Oak Ridge National Laboratory</i>	
 What's Up with Public Benefits? A Review and Initial Assessment of Public Benefits Policies and Programs in Restructured States	 5.163
Martin Kushler, <i>American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy</i>	
 Linking Reforms and Energy Efficiency to Explore the Possibilities for IRP and DSM in the Liberalised Internal European Electricity Market	 5.175
Carlos Lopes, <i>Ecole des Mines de Paris, France and Centro para a Conservação de Energia, Portugal</i>	
Lars J. Nilsson, <i>University of Lund, Sweden</i>	
Stefan K. Thomas, <i>Wuppertal Institute, Germany</i>	
Aviel Verbruggen, <i>University of Antwerp, Belgium</i>	

Energy Efficiency in Public or Privatized Power Systems: Contrasts of the Brazilian, Chilean and Mexican Experiences	5.187
Pedro Maldonado, <i>PRIEN, Universidad de Chile</i>	
Rafael Friedmann, <i>Consultant</i>	
Gilberto de Martino Jannuzzi, <i>UNICAMP Brazil</i>	
Does “Energy Service” Always Mean “Energy Efficiency?” A Customer Dilemma in Swedish Perspective.....	5.199
Peter Matsson, <i>Lund University</i>	
Jurek Pyrko, <i>Lund University</i>	
The E-Business Revolution in Energy Services.....	5.209
Philip E. Mihlmester, <i>ICF Consulting</i>	
Michael McKelvey, <i>ICF Consulting</i>	
Deregulated Electricity Markets Offer Real-Time Price Signals to Promote Retail Load Management.....	5.219
Kirk P. Miller, <i>City of Palo Alto Utilities</i>	
Shiva Swaminathan, <i>City of Palo Alto Utilities</i>	
Exploring the Feasibility of ESCO Business in Japan: Demonstration by Experimental Study.....	5.231
Chiharu Murakoshi, <i>Jyukankyo Research Institute</i>	
Hidetoshi Nakagami, <i>Jyukankyo Research Institute</i>	
Tsuyoshi Sumizawa, <i>Shin Nippon Air Technologies Co., Ltd.</i>	
Are ESCOs a Change Agent for Market Transformation?	5.243
Mary O’Drain, <i>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</i>	
Katherine Johnson, <i>KJ Consulting</i>	
Katherine Randazzo, <i>KVDR, Inc.</i>	
Shel Feldman, <i>Shel Fedman Management Consulting</i>	
Phil Willems, <i>Quantum Consulting</i>	
Lessons from the Restructuring of the Hungarian Electricity Industry	5.253
Ramilo V. Pesic, <i>University of Belgrade</i>	
Diana Ürge-Vorsatz, <i>University of Belgrade</i>	
Capture the Wind™: How to Persuade Customers that Green Power is Worth a Premium Price	5.265
Christopher Y. Reed, <i>Moorhead Public Service</i>	
Kevin P. Bengtson, <i>Moorhead Public Service</i>	
Carol Renner, <i>Marketing/Communications Consultant</i>	
Status of the U.S. Market for Green Power	5.275
Glenn Reed, <i>XENERGY, Inc.</i>	
Ashley Houston, <i>XENERGY, Inc.</i>	

The Activation Strategy of Energy Service Companies (ESCOs) Under Deregulation in Korea	5.287
Chang-Ho Rhee, <i>Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute</i>	
Keun-Dae Lee, <i>Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute</i>	
Jong-Jin Park, <i>Korea Electrotechnology Research Institute</i>	
Public Benefit Charge Funded Performance Contracting Programs: Survey and Guidelines.....	5.299
Steven R. Schiller, <i>Schiller Associates</i>	
Charles A. Goldman, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Brian Henderson, <i>New York State Energy Research & Development Authority</i>	
Environmental Benefits as a Sales Paradigm for the Energy Efficiency Industry	5.319
Mike Sherman, <i>Peregrine Energy Group</i>	
John Snell, <i>Peregrine Energy Group</i>	
Piloting toward the Future: Six Low-Income Pilot Programs	5.327
Bobbi Tannenbaum, <i>Energy Center of Wisconsin</i>	
Barbara Smith, <i>Wisconsin Energy Bureau</i>	
Scott Pigg, <i>Energy Center of Wisconsin</i>	
The Possibilities for Policy Supporting DSM in the Liberalised Internal European Electricity and Gas Markets.....	5.339
Stefan Thomas, <i>Wuppertal Institut</i>	
Carlos Lopes, <i>Ecole des Mines de Paris</i>	
Pierluigi Alari, <i>Politecnico di Milano</i>	
Lorenzo Pagliano, <i>Politecnico di Milano</i>	
ESCO's, ESP's and Small Business: A Model for Efficiency	5.353
John Wellinghoff, <i>EESI</i>	
John L. King III, <i>Aspen Systems Corporation</i>	
Mark Bailey, <i>U.S. Department of Energy Rebuild America</i>	
Jerry Lawson, <i>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Energy Star® Small Business</i>	
Customer Choice and Green Power Marketing: A Critical Review and Analysis of Experience to Date.....	5.365
Ryan Wiser, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Mark Bolinger, <i>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</i>	
Edward Holt, <i>Ed Holt & Associates, Inc.</i>	
The Cooperative Alternative Case Study: The First Urban Electric Coop.....	5.381
Edward Yaker, <i>1st Rochdale Cooperative Group, Ltd.</i>	
Fredric S. Goldner, <i>Energy Management & Research Associates</i>	
Thomas Thompson, <i>1st Rochdale Cooperative Group, Ltd.</i>	

Panel 5: Introduction

Deregulation of the Utility Industry and Role of Energy Services Companies (ESCOs)

This panel addresses the ways in which deregulation of the electric and gas industries has affected energy services companies and the provision of efficiency and renewable energy services in this country and abroad. As the United States, South America, Japan, and Europe accumulate more experience with deregulating electric utilities in particular, we can see the extent to which mandated efficiency efforts are required to stimulate efficiency investments. We are also seeing new efficiency-related products and services emerging in the marketplace. And for reasons of public policy pioneering, geographic proximity, and a tradition of exceptional regulatory scrutiny, California gains special attention in this cutting edge arena.

ESCOs, Theory and Practice presents a variety of perspectives on the promises and reality of energy services delivery, with emphasis on energy performance contracting (the delivery of efficiency services by contractors whose payment is tied to the demonstration and verification of the savings over a period of months and years). Also emphasized is the early experience of green power marketers in California, and some eastern states where partial deregulation has been in place for two years or more.

In Session 1, Goldman et al. draw upon a database of 800 performance contracts nationwide over the past several years to summarize where ESCOs are doing their business geographically and in what end-use markets, the amount of money spent, and the resulting dollar and utilities savings. Wellinghoff and his co-authors describe a pilot program sponsored by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of Energy to facilitate efficiency investments in the difficult-to-serve small business sector.

In Session 2, Golove et al. interview non-residential electricity customers to determine their preferences in a deregulated environment where both supply and demand services can be bundled. Efficiency, green power, distributed generation, and information and communications services are queried. Reed and Houston narrate the early track record of green power, including the steps states have taken to facilitate its penetration in the marketplace. Wiser, Bolinger, and Holt investigate both product offerings and customer responses to green power marketing.

Public Benefits Programs includes an array of interesting and occasionally unique approaches for public benefits programs, covering the spectrum from traditional low-income programs to standard performance contracting. Program designs and participants have changed since funding has shifted from utility rates to public benefit charges, and the papers included here explore some of the implications from those changes.

Session 3 focuses on low-income energy programs. Belliveau, Sachs, and Plunkett describe the creation of a unique consumer energy cooperative as a way to help low-income energy consumers gain some benefit from retail competition. Tannenbaum, Smith, and Pigg provide lessons from six low-income pilots in Wisconsin and conclude that the public benefit process can increase the politicization of program design and implementation. Issues around client data confidentiality, service coordination, and data sharing are once again in the forefront of discussions as the role of the utility changes and the utility ability to recover costs for services is affected by restructuring. Yaker, Goldner, and Thompson describe a novel approach to rural electric cooperatives—create one in the ultimate urban environment, New York City. This new “cooperation among cooperatives” has given residential and small commercial customers a voice in the debate over restructuring.

In Session 4, Kushler presents a review and initial assessment of public benefits policies and programs in states that have restructured their electric utility industry. Jensen focuses on activities in the Midwest, comparing and contrasting the energy efficiency programs in Illinois, Ohio, and Wisconsin. Schiller, Goldman, and Henderson focus more narrowly on the standard performance contracting programs funded by public benefits charges in California, New Jersey, Texas, Colorado, Connecticut, Wisconsin, and New York. They discuss varying objectives of these programs along with recommendations on key design issues, measurement and verification, and the ability of these types of programs to transform markets.

Session 5 focuses on the California program experience. Goldstone, Rufo, and Wilson evaluate the California standard performance contracting program based on a theory-based approach to market transformation. Initial evaluation results of the program challenged the assumptions underlying the original program theory, and this paper develops important practical lessons on using a theory-based approach to improve program design. O’Drain et al. focus on the role of ESCOs in the commercial/industrial HVAC and motors markets, and explores ESCOs’ awareness of market transformation initiatives as well as specific tactics, directions, and strategies that ESCOs are using to compete in these markets. Dickerson and her co-authors examine both residential and non-residential standard performance contracting programs from the perspective of detailed interviews with both participants and non-participants. Preferences on program design, forms, eligible measures, incentive levels, and measurement and verification are analyzed, along with participation decision drivers, culminating in recommendations for future programs.

Real Time Metering looks at price signals and other market mechanisms that are transforming the demand side of the electric supply and demand equation. In Session 6, Miller and Swaminathan compare the emergency load response programs of two independent system operators (ISOs)—in California and New England—and provide suggestions for increasing the attractiveness and effectiveness of the programs. Both programs offer payments to retail customers when they voluntarily cut their load during high demand periods. Kirby and Kueck take a buildings perspective in discussing opportunities to reduce building energy costs by responding to real-time energy prices. They discuss physical capabilities, decision processes, price disclosure, communications, aggregation, monitoring, and performance certification. Dunlop and Gilligan examine the potential for energy services companies to participate in wholesale demand reduction markets, focusing on the need to accommodate new demand-side activities that are much smaller scale than conventional generators and ISOs are used to seeing. They cover both conceptual approaches and practical experience to date in California and elsewhere.

New Entrants in the Marketplace looks at new ways of doing business. In Session 7, El-Sharif and Horowitz focus on using financial valuation to increase market penetration of energy-efficient products and services, using ground-source heat pump systems as a case study. Mihlmester and McKelvey look at e-business and the energy efficiency/energy services arena. They provide an overview of current energy and efficiency e-business concepts, offer insights into the key steps involved in developing an e-business, and demonstrate how e-business can transform the energy efficiency marketplace.

The Status of Efficiency Abroad examines the relatively disappointing recent experiences of efficiency programs in Europe, Central and South America, and Japan. While it is clear that some nations have done better than others and that public and utility-sponsored efficiency programs are gaining ground in certain places, the prognosis for the efficiency industry in deregulated marketplaces is not good. Of course it has not been outstanding in countries outside of the United States with strong regulatory powers either.

In Session 8, Maldonado and Friedmann contrast the experiences in Brazil, Chile, and Mexico, focusing on whether public or private power systems makes a difference on the degree of efficiency incentives and facilitation. Jannuzzi discusses the energy efficiency situation in Brazil.

In Session 9, Evans reviews the experience of ESCOs in the Czech Republic, Ukraine and Russia, with attention on the factors driving their diversity in foci, efforts, and outcomes. Thomas et al. examine the European Union countries' experiences with mandated efficiency programs, proposing policy actions to improve demand-side programs' effectiveness and impacts. Lopes and his co-authors also look at Europe, focusing on how integrated resource planning (IRP) and DSM are faring in each of the European Union countries. They describe the relationships between electricity market structures and characteristics on the one hand, and the level of IRP and DSM activities on the other.

Session 10 examines the experiences of single countries. Bergmash et al. narrate the evolution of efficiency programs and organizations four years after deregulation has been implemented in Sweden's electric industry. They do so from the customers' vantage point. Pesic and Üрге-Vorsatz examine Hungary's experience with utility restructuring, especially its impact on efficiency efforts. Murakoshi, Nakagami, and Sumizawa describe a project piloted by Japan's Ministry of International Trade and Industry providing audits and retrofits of commercial buildings.

In poster sessions, Sherman and Snell discuss the advantages of selling energy efficiency to customers as power marketers have done with green power: lower pollution, job creation, system reliability, and lower cost are among the potential marketing points that can be effective. Matsson and Pyrko discuss different types of energy services offered in deregulated electricity markets in Sweden, suggesting and evaluating the types of criteria customers can use in selecting energy services so energy use efficiency and demand reduction are part of the services provided. In a clever campaign to package, price, and promote a local wind power project, Reed, Bengtson, and Renner describe the utility of Morehead, Minnesota's "Capture the Wind" program, which fully subscribed its premium-priced green power project with "Charter Member" customers in less than two month by combining block pricing with effective publicity. Rhee, Lee, and Park describe the electric restructuring situation in Korea, which should be an attractive market for ESCOs due to its high energy growth rate and increasing dependence on energy

imports. They discuss the changes needed in Korea to enhance the ability of ESCOs to be key players in the energy conservation market. Farinaccio and her co-authors describe Wisconsin's Pilot Energy Efficiency Program, praising innovative financial incentives and lamenting the limitations posed by a largely rural demonstration area for the program.

Steve Morgan, EUA Citizens Conservation Services
Betsy Krieg, Pacific Gas and Electric Company