

The Data Disconnect

Experiences in Secondary Markets Securitization

ACEEE Energy Finance Forum

5/14/2013

Jeff Pitkin, Treasurer

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

Background

Green Jobs-Green New York Act of 2009 (Oct 2009)

Finance energy efficiency improvements for:

- Residential 1-4 family dwellings (up to \$25,000)
- Multifamily buildings (program limit \$5,000/unit or \$500,000 per building)
- Small business (<101 employees) and not-for-profit structures (up to \$50,000)

Power NY Act of 2011 (Aug 2011)

Established innovative statewide on-bill recovery financing program

Offers consumer simplicity and **highly scalable/efficient** secondary capital markets financing approach

Funding

- \$112 million RGGI proceeds; \$51 million for revolving loan fund
- \$9.3 million loan loss/debt service reserve (DOE Better Buildings Grant)

Residential Loan Portfolio Status 4/30/2013

	<u>Unsecured Loan</u>		<u>On-Bill Recovery Loan</u>		<u>Total</u>
	<u>Tier 1</u>	<u>Tier 2</u>	<u>Tier 1</u>	<u>Tier 2</u>	
<u>Loans Issued/Outstanding</u>					
Number of loans issued	2,227	243	712	78	3,260
Amount of loans issued	\$20,313,363	\$2,329,218	\$7,585,557	\$767,456	\$30,995,595
Average Loan	\$9,121	\$9,585	\$10,654	\$9,839	\$9,508
Average Interest Rate	3.59%	3.59%	3.00%	3.00%	3.45%
Avg Original Term (months)	142.3	159.3	173.2	172.3	151.1
Average FICO score	751	697	752	725	747
Current Balance of Loans	\$17,841,177	\$2,152,840	\$7,464,654	\$751,581	\$28,210,251
Percent of Total	63.2%	7.6%	26.5%	2.7%	100.0%
Avg Term Remaining (months)	124.4	146.7	169.7	166.6	137.0

Residential Loan Portfolio Status 4/30/2013

	<u>Unsecured Loan</u>		<u>On-Bill Recovery Loan</u>		<u>Total</u>
<u>Delinquency Analysis</u>					
Current	\$17,835,799	\$2,098,768	\$7,252,516	\$721,717	\$27,908,800
% of total	99.97%	97.49%	97.16%	96.03%	98.93%
Past Due	\$5,378	\$54,071	\$212,138	\$29,864	\$301,451
% of total	0.03%	2.51%	2.84%	3.97%	1.07%
<u>Chargeoff Analysis</u>					
Loans Charged off (>120DPD)	\$64,798	\$1,368	\$5,402	\$0	\$71,568
% of loans issued	0.32%	0.06%	0.07%	0.00%	0.23%

Capital Markets Financing Approach

- Issue as Qualified Energy Conservation Bonds using NYS allocation
 - QECCB bonds provide interest subsidy (lesser of 70% of published federal tax credit rate or interest rate on bonds); dramatically lowers net interest rate on bonds
 - QECCB bond issuance resulted in lower loan interest rate; Once QECCB bond volume cap exhausted, loan rates will be increased due to loss of subsidy
- First issuance up to \$24.3M - rated public issuance
- Target “A” rating

Team:

Financial Advisor – Lamont Financial Services

Underwriters – Citigroup, Jefferies & Co., Samuel A. Ramirez & Co.

Rating Agency Feedback

- Rating agency feedback - Minimum investment grade “achievable”
- Challenges with ABS vs Municipal bond structuring and rating
- Insufficient payment performance data
 - NYSERDA portfolio – avg paid term of about 1yr for avg 12yr loan term
 - Keystone HELP (PA) portfolio data
 - Summary performance data on NY loans issued in Fannie Mae portfolio
 - No comparable loss statistics on 15 yr consumer loans
- Unable to include OBR loans in first bond issue without jeopardy to rating
Insufficient evidence of consumer and utility performance
- Unable to include QECB subsidy payment in ratings cash flow models
Appropriation risk – resulted in lower advance rate (higher overcollateralization)
- Servicing risks – Primary servicer not rated; required backup servicer
- ABS turbo bond structure to address marketability
- Likely to achieve only BBB rating (~70% advance rate)
\$10M bonds with \$14M in pledged loans and \$9M of reserves and \$1B balance sheet is BBB?

Revision to Structure

- Collaboration with NYS Environmental Facilities Corporation
- Requested and received US EPA concurrence that energy efficiency is an allowable purpose under Clean Water State Revolving Fund
 - Energy Efficiency -> Reduced Air Emissions -> Improved Water Quality
- Restructured as municipal bond structure
- Credit enhancement /guarantee from NYS Environmental Facilities Corporation through Clean Water State Revolving Fund
 - EFC can provide more flexibility in structuring than received through ratings process
- \$24.3M Bonds will likely achieve AA- rating
- EFC/NYSERDA Board approval June 2013, issuance August 2013
- National replicable model through State Clean Water State Revolving Funds

Summary

- Ratings challenges with new credits
 - Alternative non-rated placement may make sense in the near term for current scale
- It's about payment performance - not energy savings
- Structuring differences between ABS and municipal markets
- Bond market financing for clean energy may require governmental/ratepayer credit support in near term until sufficient performance history exists
- Need to create performance data availability
 - Prior discussions to create national system haven't materialized
 - May require some states to make their data available, even if not structured in consistent manner

Questions

Jeff Pitkin
(518)862-1090 x3223
jjp@nyserda.ny.gov