



Summary Table of Public Benefit Programs and Electric Utility Restructuring (April 2004)

State	Description	Details of SBC Funding					Renewables Portfolio Standard	Generation Disclosure	
		R&D	EE	LI	RE	Total			
Oregon	Law passed in July 1999. Includes a "public purpose charge" to fund EE, RI and LI, equiv. to 3% of total IOU revenues (approx. \$60 million). Requires 67% of funds for EE (incl. MT) and 17% to RE. PUC to develop rules. LI gets 15% of PPC for weatherization, plus extra \$10 million for bill payment assistance (incl. in table totals).	million \$	40.0	20.0	10.0	70.0	None. (a "green rate" option is required, however)	Fuel mix and emissions disclosure is required.	
		mills/kWh	1.26	0.63	0.31	2.2			
		% rev.	2.0	1.0	0.50	3.5			
		admin.		ETO	State	ETO			
Pennsylvania	In Dec96, a restructuring law was signed with retail access to be phased-in over 2 yrs. starting Jan99. The restructuring law resulted in PUC-approved restructuring settlement agreements for each electric company. Each settlement agreement created a system benefits fund for LI programs and a Sustainable Energy Fund (except for Duquesne)	million \$	5.0	85.0	6.0	96.0	None.	Fuel mix is required (but not emissions data.)	
		mills/kWh	0.04	0.60	0.05	0.69			
		% rev.	0.05	0.9	0.06	1.0			
		admin.	SEF	Utility	SEF				
Rhode Island	Retail competition phased in by Jan98. The legislation required a minimum SBC of 2.3 mills per kWh for EE and RE. Actual spending plans exceeded that. Some funding on R&D for "near commercialization" renewables. Low-income EE and rate discounts are funded in rates, not the SBC. In July 2001, the 2.3 mills minimum SBC was extended for 5 more years.	million \$	15.2	in rates	in	15.2	None.	Participant of NE Disclosure Project.	
		mills/kWh	2.3	in rates	EE	2.3			
		% rev.	2.3	in rates		2.3			
		admin.	Utility	Utility	State				
Texas	Restructuring Law signed in June 1999. Requires utilities to administer EE programs to achieve savings equivalent to 10% of annual load growth by 2004. PUC has established rates and procedures. Est. total annual cost is \$80 million by 2003. Also a small SBC for customer educ. and LI assistance & 10% LI rate discount. (Has been set at statutory maximum .65 mills/kWh.)	million \$	80.0	157.0		237.0	Requires 2000 MW of new renewables by 2009. (Phase-in, 400 MW by 2003.)	Aug01 PUCT Rule \$25.476 requires fuel mix and environmental impact disclosure.	
		mills/kWh	0.33	0.65		1.00			
		% rev.	0.55	1.10		1.65			
		admin.	Utility	PUCT					
Vermont	VT has not yet restructured, but in June 1999, S.137 passed, giving PSB the authority to establish an SBC to fund statewide EE thru a non-utility entity, in place of utility programs. \$17.5 million/yr maximum. 5-year ramp-up budget was set in settlement, averages shown in table. EVT = Efficiency Vermont.	million \$	17.5	in		17.5	None.	S62 required price, mix, pollutants, EE notices, and terms. NE Disclosure Proj.	
		mills/kWh	2.9	EE		2.9			
		% rev.	3.4			3.4			
		admin.		EVT	EVT				
Wisconsin	Act 9 of 1999 passed Sept. 99 includes elec. Reliability provisions which designate the WI Dept. of Admin. as the state agency to design and implement public benefit programs. Industry restructuring has not yet been addressed. Totals in the table reflect best estimate of funding levels when fully in place.	million \$	2.2	62.0	46.0	5.0	115.2	Requires 0.5% by 12/31/2001. Increases biennially to 2.2% by 12/31/2011.	Not addressed.
		mills/kWh	0.0	1.15	0.85	0.09	2.14		
		% rev.	0.08	2.3	1.73	0.18	4.3		
		admin.	DOA	DOA	DOA	DOA			

TBD = to be decided

SBC funding amounts provided in the table are average annual authorized funding levels.

Return to ACEEE